MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL NAGPUR BENCH NAGPUR ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 949 of 2019 (S.B.)

- Ranjit S/o Gangadhar Ujawane,
 Aged about 45 years, Occ. Service,
 R/o Plot No. 20, Banerjee Lay Out,
 Nagpur.
- Kirankumar S/oMurlidharpant Dahikar,
 Aged about 42 years, Occ. Service,
 R/o Q.No.C-9/3, Ravi Nagar, Nagpur.
- 3) Ujwal S/o Shrirampant Deshmukh, Aged about 39 years, Occ. Service, R/o 22, Bhausaheb Kaloti Layout, Utrashi Nagar, Amravati.
- 4) Chintaman S/o Bhaurao Bhadikar,
 Aged about 52 years, Occ, Service,
 R/o 202, Grace Apartment, Jai
 Vignaharta Colony, Katol Road, Nagpur.
- 5) Sugat S/o Bapuraoji Khakse, Aged about 53 years, Occ. Service, R/o 58, Vishram Nagar, Nari, Ring Road, Nagpur.
- Anil Damaji Meshram.Aged about 52 years, Occ. Service,R/o 83, Adarsh Nagar, Kalamna Road,Nagpur.

- 7) Ravindra S/o DiwaluLahane,Aged about 51 years, Occ. Service,R/o 455, Hiwari Layout, Nagpur.
- 8) Anil S/o MadhukarPote,Aged about 51 years, Occ. Service,R/o 47, Jambudeep Nagar, AyodhyaNagar, Nagpur.
- 9) Avinash S/o AsokDatir,Aged about 41 years, Occ. Service,R/o Swami Samarth Vihar-3, EkmatNagar, Besa Road, Nagpur.
- Nilesh S/o NarendraDeshmukh,Aged about 41 years, Occ. Service,R/o 12, Type IV, Building No. 2,Ravi Nagar, Nagpur.
- 11) Vilas S/o PandurangUjwalkar,Aged about 53 years, Occ. Service,R/o 242, Shri.Mahalaxmi Nagar,New Narsala Road, Nagpur.
- 12) RamakantShankarraoPatankar,Aged about 53 years, Occ. Service,R/o Plot No. 13, Sarojini HS, SwagatNagar, New Narsala Road, Nagpur.
- 13) Yadav S/o Bapuraoji Jhunghare,Aged about 49 years, Occ. Service,R/o 291, GarobaMaidan, Bagadganj,Nagpur.

- 14) Yashwant S/o Dhondbaji Pittule,Aged about 54 years, Occ. Service,R/o 460, Nehru Nagar, TirangaChowk,Nagpur.
- 15) Gajanan S/o ShriramBhagwat,Aged about 45 years, Occ. Service,R/o Type IV, Building No. 8, Ravi Nagar,Nagpur.
- 16) Prabodhkumar Ganpatlal Neware,Aged 45 years, Occ. Service,R/o A/a, Fulchur Naka, Gondia.
- 17) Girish Pandurang Chude,Aged about 42 years, Occ. Service,R/o Q No.C-6/2, Ravi Nagar, Nagpur.
- 18) Vasant S/o Fulsing Chavan,Aged about 54 years, Occ. Service,R/o Q. No. C-9/2, Ravi Nagar, Nagpur
- 19) Vikas Ramesh Deogade,Aged about 46 years, Occ. Service,R/o 15, New Kailas Nagar, ManewadaRoad, Nagpur.
- 20) Praful S/o Shankarrao Kolhe A/a 34 Yrs, Occ- Service Rahul Nagar, Camp Amravati, Distt.Amaravati
- 21) Ankush S/o DinkarraoDeshmukh A/a 35 Yrs, Occ- Service Manjarkhed Kasba, Tah- Chandur Rly DisttAmravati .

- Raju S/o CharandasGaikwad
 A/a 42 YrsOcc- Service
 C/o Sanjay Dhande , Plot no. 1 Hanuman
 Nagar Belewadi ,Tukum, Chandrapur,
 Tah AndDisttChandrapur
- 23) Tejram S/o SitkuraMadavi
 A/a 49 YrsOcc- Service
 Vinay Residency OppVidyaViharm, Convent
 High ScoolChatrapati Nagar Tukum,
 ,Chandrapur, Tah And Distt Chandrapur
- Sumit S/o BhujingaSuryawanshi
 A/a 33YrsOcc- Service
 C/o Mahipal Bakaram Lamkase Shrihans
 Nivas ,Chhatrapati Nagar Chandrapur, Tah
 And Distt Chandrapur
- 25) Avinash S/o KeshavraoMadavi
 A/a 54YrsOcc- Service
 C/o,KeshavNivas , Bhiwapur Ward ,
 Chandrapur, Tah And DisttChandrapur
- 26) Pushpkumar Rupchand Shravankar A/a 48Yrs, Occ- Service C/o Parimal Gangreddywar ,Gurudwara Road Tukum, Chhatrapati Nagar Chandrapur, Tah And Distt Chandrapur
- 27) Nilesh S/o Rameshrao KshirsagarA/a 41 Yrs, Occ- ServiceC/o Shivaji Nagar ,Datey Collage Road,Yavatmal

- 28) Ajay S/o KhusalraoThamkeA/a 41 Yrs, Occ- ServiceC/o Jamankar Nagar, Yavatmal
- 29) Sunil S/o Giridharrao Masal ThamkeA/a 52 Yrs, Occ- ServiceC/o Raut Nagar, Yavatmal
- 30) Ravindra Vishwanatrao Gawande
 A/a 38 Yrs, Occ- Service
 C/o Anvay, Plot No. 18.A, Gokul Colony,
 Near Jawahar Nagar, Akola Tah AndDistt –
 Akola
- 31) Vivek Anil IwarakarA/a 40 Yrs, Occ- ServiceC/o Rajmata Jijau Nagar , Ward No. 5 , NearJagrut Ganesh Mandir, Chikhli, Distt.- Buldana.
- 32) Bharatbhushan Manohar DumareA/a 39 Yrs, Occ- ServiceC/o Flat No. 101, Radhakrushna Apartment,Bank of India Colony Wardha .
- 33) Rajesh Shankarrao GaikwadA/a 53 Yrs, Occ- ServiceC/o Plot No. 69, Near House UmakantRamteke, Untkhana, Nagpur .
- 34) Prashant Marotrao MundreA/a 46 Yrs, Occ- ServiceC/o Flat No. 101, Shatabdi Chouk , Ring Road , Nagpur.

- 35) Ashutosh Pralhadrao Zodpey,Age 45 years, Occ. Service,R/o Flat No. C-204, Jayanti Nagari-6,Besa, Nagpur.
- 36) Punjaji Bhausing Solankey,Age 54 years, Occ. Service,R/o Akola, Tah. and Distt. Akola

<u>Applicants</u>

-Versus-

- The State of Maharashtra
 Through its Secretary,
 Department of Finance,
 Mantralaya, Mumbai 400032.
- The Commissioner of State Tax,
 Maharashtra State, Mumbai.
- The Special State Tax Commissioner,
 Maharashtra State, Mumbai.
- The Additional Commissioner of State Tax, Nagpur Zone, Civil Lines, Nagpur.
- The Joint Commissioner of State Tax (HQ)-4, Maharashtra State, Mumbai.

Respondents.

S/Shri N.S. Wadiyalwar, P. Mehta, Y. Kamble, Advs. for the applicants.

Shri A.M. Khadatkar, P.O. for the respondents.

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Anand Karanjkar,

Member (J).

Dated :- 12/02/2020

JUDGMENT

Heard Shri P. Mehta, learned counsel for the applicant and

Shri A.M. Khadatkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicants are challenging the impugned order dated

22/11/2019 passed by the Joint Commissioner of State Tax (HQ)-4,

Maharashtra State, Mumbai. By this order, the applicants were

directed to work on the establishment of Sales Tax Office, Mumbai till

further orders. It is submission of the applicants that it is nowhere

clarified in the order whether it was transfer or deputation. After

perusing the order dated 22/11/2019, it seems that it is nowhere

mentioned in the order that the applicants were entitled for deputation

allowance.

3. After reading this order, it seems that this order was

passed by the Joint Commissioner of Sales Tax. According to the

applicant, the Joint Commissioner of Sales Tax was not authorised in

law to transfer the applicants or depute the applicants.

4. The respondent nos. 2 to 5 have filed their reply and it is

submitted that the impugned order shall remain in force from

22/11/2019 till 31/3/2020 and in support latter order passed by the

O.A. No. 949 of 2019

respondent no.5 is placed on record. On perusal of order dated

8

5/12/2019 it is made clear that the earlier order would remain in force

till 31/3/2017 and the applicants would be directed to join duty at

Mumbai only after arrangements for their residence will be made by

the Government.

5. The learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the

Joint Commissioner of Sales Tax has issued the impugned order

without jurisdiction and earlier transfer orders were issued by the

Government. The learned P.O. was unable to satisfy that the

respondent no.5 was the Transferring Authority of the applicants. In

view of these irregularities, I am compelled to say that the impugned

order cannot be sustained. Hence, the following order –

ORDER

The order impugned Annex-A-1, dated 22/11/2019 is

hereby guashed and set aside. No order as to costs.

Dated: 12/02/2020.

(A.D. Karanjkar) Member (J).

*dnk..

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file order are word to word same as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno : D.N. Kadam

Court Name : Court of Hon'ble Member (J).

Judgment signed on : 12/02/2020.

Uploaded on : 17/02/2020.